

WHY
A GLOBAL POPULATION STABILIZATION LAW
IS INEVITABLE AND HOW IT WILL CHANGE US AND OUR WORLD

KEVIN GALALAE

A Son of Man

1 March 2023

The purpose of this paper is to provide the basis for a global dialogue about a legislative solution to the population problem and to base it on facts not fictions.

We are fast approaching a point of no return where covert and involuntary methods of population control are no longer possible. I take full credit for this, as I have exposed every aspect of the population control program, past and present. As such, I owe the world an alternative.

Having spent years thinking of an alternative that is easily applicable and easily acceptable and failing to find one because none exists, I will now explain why a global Population Stabilization Law (PSL) is the only alternative and why we must accept it.

First of all, what exactly is a global Population Stabilization Law (PSL)? It is a permanent solution to the population problem. Ever since we exist as a species and as a civilization apart from nature we have controlled our numbers and will always have to lest we exceed the food we produce and the resources we extract. This is an inescapable reality and an existential problem.

Population control involves three fine balances: (1) people and resources, males and females, young and old. This is the trinity of the divine equilibrium that nature maintains within its ecosystems through interspecies (predator and prey), intraspecies (competition for natural resources between groups) and intertemporal relationships (the rebalancing of numbers from one generation to the next through conflict, famine, disease and death).

As an apex species that rose above the natural order we have been forced to maintain these three fine balances, the divine equilibrium, the trinity of life, ourselves and have done so through our spiritual and political systems: the convincing power of religion, reinforced through dogmas, and the coercive power of government, projected through laws.

People and resources


When people outgrow resources, as they inevitably do if the population is allowed to grow naturally and double every 20 years, famine ensues and societies resort to war to reestablish the lost balance between people and resources. The UN system was created to avoid yet another world war which this time would have been nuclear and therefore catastrophic.

Discreet methods to reduce fertility and prevent conception have been devised and applied as an alternative to war ever since. In effect, governments and the UN system have been waging war against the human reproductive system through chemical, biological, social, economic and psychosocial methods since 1945.

Their methods have borne fruit, as they have reduced the total fertility rate globally from 5 children per woman prior to 1950 to just 2.3 children per woman today. This is a first in history. And this war against fertility is a pillar of the international order post-WW2.

Fertility rate: children per woman

Our World In Data




And the population growth rate has slowed globally from more than 2% prior to 1960 to less than 1% since 2020. Fewer people born per woman has resulted in a slower population growth rate.

Population growth rate, World, 1950 to 2100

Our World In Data

Annual rate of population change from 1950, including UN projections to 2100 based on its median scenario. This takes births, deaths and migration into account.



Source: United Nations - Population Division (2022)


OurWorldInData.org/world-population-growth/ • CC BY

In high-income countries the population growth rate has slowed to nearly zero.

Population growth rate, High-income countries, 1950 to 2100

Our World In Data

Annual rate of population change from 1950, including UN projections to 2100 based on its median scenario. This takes births, deaths and migration into account.



Source: United Nations - Population Division (2022)

OurWorldInData.org/world-population-growth/ • CC BY

And in countries such as Italy, Japan, Germany, South Korea, Hong Kong and many others it is already in negative territory.

Males and females

When one sex outnumbers the other, the individuals who are in excess cannot find a mate and are therefore deprived of the opportunity to reproduce since we are a species that does so sexually. Reproduction being a primal instinct the consequences are grave to the individuals and society alike.


To keep people and resources in balance, societies have sacrificed men in war and thus created a surplus of women that had to be addressed somehow. Societies and religions have found ways to address this man-made imbalance through monogamy or polygamy, through sex selective infanticide and child abandonment, through laws and social rules that dictate who can marry and when, through monasticism and the vow of celibacy, through mandatory suicide of women (sati) or mass homicide of women (witch burnings), and through land ownership and inheritance laws that exclude or favor one sex over the other. For there can be no reproduction without first ensuring one's existence.

As a result of human interference with the natural stability and equilibrium of the gender ratio there are now more males born than females, about 105 males per 100 females. In India and China sex-selective abortion skews the sex ratio substantially more towards males as far more female fetuses are aborted. But nature makes no such distinction. The sex ratio at conception is unbiased, there being no difference in the number of males and females conceived. ([Source](#))

Due to higher female mortality during pregnancy (miscarriage) and the higher number of aborted females (abortion), however, more males than females are now being born across the world. The mass poisoning with endocrine disruptors for sterilization purposes and the use of chemical contraceptives may have something to do with this imbalance as well.


Sex ratio at birth, 1950 to 2021

The sex ratio at birth is measured as the number of newborn boys for every 100 newborn girls.



The sex ratio for the entire world population evens out at about 101 males to 100 females due to the higher mortality of males during lifetime as a result of accidents and more dangerous lifestyle choices as a result of which females on average live longer than males by about five years.

As such, all cultures and nations have more young boys than girls and more elderly women than men; the latter being a problem that governments and religions have tried to solve by various means, some more brutal than others. This imbalance in favor of males in youth and in favor of females in old age is clearly visible in a population pyramid by the differences in percentages between the male, blue side, and the female, red side.




Old and young

When the old far outnumber the young, or vice versa, pressure on society’s resources becomes unbearable and something has to give. If there are too many young people who reproduce too fast, the population exceeds society’s ability to grow enough food, build infrastructure and extract the resources necessary for the life-long survival of every individual. And if too many young people are not accommodated by society, conflict ensues that invariably ends up in war and social collapse. This was mankind’s predicament throughout the ages prior to advances in sanitation, nutrition, hygiene and medicine in the 20th century, at which point lifespans began to rise quickly.

Conversely, if the old outnumber the young, the state cannot extract enough taxes from the working-age population to support the burden of the old. This has become the predicament of developed nations because life expectancy grew over the decades and has become unsustainable and due to the relentless attack on fertility and the decreasing number of children born from one generation to the next.

As people live longer, their years of dependence on others exceed their productive years, making every individual who lives beyond 75 years a liability. To address this governments have found ways to artificially increase the deathrate and more recently to artificially shorten lifespans by subverting the immune system with mRNA vaccines, which is why since 2019 we see a sharp reduction in lifespans across all regions. ([Source](#))

Life expectancy, 1770 to 2021



Having to keep just one of these balances in one country is hard enough, but having to keep all three for the entire global population is that much harder. It takes absolute authority to keep these three balances in perfect equilibrium across a global population of 8 billion.




Science has done the best it could, but it has only bought us a little time. Since God is not coming to our rescue and Science is powerless, we must use divine love and human wisdom instead, for we cannot survive the next doubling from 8 to 16 billion people, which absent efficient population control methods in place would happen in just 25 years. Nor can we survive an ageing population where the old-age dependency ratio keeps rising until the old outnumber the young and society eventually collapses.

Size of young, working-age and elderly populations, Europe (UN), 1950 to 2100



Historic estimates from 1950 to 2021, and projected to 2100 based on the UN medium scenario.



Source: United Nations - Population Division (2022)

OurWorldInData.org/age-structure • CC BY

Japan is already in this dangerous predicament since 1996, Europe since 2005, the UK since 2015, and South Korea since 2017. ([Source](#))

What are we to do?

Neither God nor Science will save us. We must save ourselves through our own individual and collective actions and in the process save our civilization, for we are but naked savages without it.

Covert methods are inefficient and brutal and cause more problems than they solve; we have run out of time since the global population already far exceeds earth's regenerative capacity, and we will soon run out of vital natural resources. What we need is a permanent, humane, fair and legal solution to the population problem. Keeping the trinity of life in perfect equilibrium can only be accomplished by legislating a global Population Stabilization Law (PSL).

Such a law must be able to keep the three fine balances of people and resources, males and females, young and old, hence it must have three components: replacement level fertility (RLF), required assisted dying (RAD), and optimal population levels (OPL).

Replacement level fertility (RLF):

We no longer have the luxury of tolerating selfishness in youth or in old age. Intergenerational solidarity compels us all to do our part in a commensurate and age-specific way.

We come into this world because our parents have fulfilled their reproductive duty (and joy) of conceiving children. As members of our species we have two overarching duties: to ensure the perpetuation of the species and the continuation and evolution of our civilization. The first brings

us into the world and the second sustains us in this world. Everything else is secondary. Let's get our priorities straight then!

Replacement level fertility, i.e. 2.1 children per woman, ensures that the population neither grows nor decreases and is therefore stable. A stable population ensures also that each generation replaces itself and the perpetuation of the species is therefore assured. If fewer than 2.1 children are born to every woman then the population decreases and eventually becomes extinct. If more than 2.1 children are born to every woman then the population keeps growing until it outgrows the land's resources, which leads to war, and eventually outgrows the planet's resources, which leads to environmental collapse. We are on the brink of this latter stage.

Since 1945, and in some countries earlier, fertility is limited by chronically poisoning the population with endocrine disruptors through the basic elements of life. This has caused the epidemic of chronic or non-communicable diseases that prematurely kill 90% of us and has rendered a third of us sterile and all of us sub-fertile. If governments continue to use this method of subverting fertility soon not one of the planet's 8 billion people will be able to reproduce and our species will become extinct. Governments and the international system are currently trying to replace covert chemical methods of sterilization with coercive biological methods of sterilization, hence mandatory or quasi-mandatory vaccines. But the result will be the same, the collapse of fertility and ultimately the extinction of our species. In their foolhardy arrogance governments believe they can regulate human fertility artificially in perpetuity by turning it on and off like a water tap without permanently damaging our ability to reproduce. The scientific data, however, shows otherwise. It shows that since 1973 sperm count has decreased by half and that since 2000 the decrease has accelerated from 1.16% annual loss to 2.64%. At this rate, all men will be incapable of reproduction within a decade. ([Source](#))

Clearly covert chemical and biological methods of sterilization can no longer be used and must be immediately discontinued. Just as clearly mankind cannot return to its natural reproductive rate of 8 to 12 children per woman.

The only solution that permanently stabilizes the population without damaging human health is to legislate replacement level fertility and provide people with free or low-priced contraceptives on demand.

Mandatory assisted suicide:

Required assisted suicide at age 75 ensures that mankind survives the last stage of the demographic transition, the euphemism used to describe the last stage of the population stabilization program that due to overpopulation has become a population reduction program. During this stage the old outnumber the young and unless their number is reduced society will collapse socially, demographically and fiscally.

Having interfered with the people's reproductive system since 1950 we now have inverted population pyramids throughout the developed world, that is to say population structures that are

characterized by too many elderly and too few young to support the elderly in old age. When pensions were instituted at the turn of the 20th century at least twenty workers supported a single pensioner. As governments impaired fertility, every generation born was smaller than the previous one and now two workers support one pensioner, which is economically unsustainable as it drains the finances of the state for what is essentially a non-productive cost and it also drains the finances of the young as they are forced to pay too large a portion of their wages in taxes to support the old while they themselves are left with too little to raise families and buy themselves a house in which to raise their children.

Not only are we now in a situation where two workers have to support a pensioner, but by 2050 a worker will have to support nearly two pensioners which is impossible. Society will collapse long before then. In addition, not only do we now have too few young workers forced to support too many old pensioners, the old are also living longer than ever, so long in fact that we have added another generation. Whereas in the past the working age population only had to worry about supporting their parents in old age, now they also have to support their grandparents. Now, unlike in the past, there are four generations living at the same time: children, parents, grandparents, and great-grandparents. So the parents, who are the only productive members of society, have to support not two (children and grandparents) but three dependent generations (children, grandparents and great-grandparents). Never in history was this the case.

Since this is an unsustainable situation of limited duration, mandatory assisted suicide at age 75 is absolutely necessary until the old-age dependency ratio falls below 20%. It is currently around 40% and by 2050 it will reach 60%. I say of limited duration since the two largest generations, the baby boomers (born 1946 to 1964) and generation X (born 1965 to 1979) will have either retired or died by 2050 and we will no longer have to contend with inverted population pyramids.

The mandatory assisted suicide will remain in place past 2050, but only to limit lifespan to the level that makes biological and economic sense, which is a maximum of 85 years of age. Otherwise the resources of the state and of families will be drained to extend life irrationally long at irrationally high costs that would deprive families of much needed physical and emotional resources to raise children, the state of much needed financial resources to invest in development, and society of much needed human resources. Absent that we will end up with five generations living side by side: children, parents, grandparents, great-grandparents, and great-great-grandparents since everyone would live to the ripe old age of 120.

Henceforth, no one will die in vain, for even in death we can be of service to mankind. Let us all recognize that, honor every sacrifice, and meet our maker proudly and joyfully.

Optimal population levels:

The last component of a global Population Stabilization Law is optimal population levels, which means that each nation will have to reduce its population to a number it can sustain on its own resources without being a burden on other nations and their resources and without polluting or

denuding the land of resources that nature cannot regenerate, which would make life impossible for future generations. According to the [Overshoot Index](#) there are currently 114 out of 187 countries that are in population overshoot, some more than others. In other words, that are a burden on other nations because they cannot sustain all their citizens based only on the resources of the landmass they occupy and need to import a great deal of resources to make ends meet.

It should be noted that the Overshoot Index has calculated every country's sustainability based on the current standard of living and not on the highest standard of living to which all individuals and nations aspire. This being the case, the greater the standard of living a nation aspires to the fewer people it will be able to support sustainably in perpetuity. What is now an optimal population for any given country may therefore not be optimal but suboptimal tomorrow if the standard of living goes up. What is an optimal population will therefore have to be adjusted by every generation if the people of a nation aspire to a greater standard of living than their parents had.

This being the case, 114 nations must reduce their populations until they reach that optimal population level that allows them to live sustainably. And the remaining 73 nations that are not yet in overshoot will have to stabilize their populations to avoid going in overshoot. This can all be done gradually and painlessly if there is a global Population Stabilization Law, as that would allow governments to adjust births and deaths with the aid of the population as needed from decade to decade until the desired optimal number is reached.

An optimal population level will provide an optimal standard of living to every human being, as there will be no need to compete for scarce resources or to hoard them for rainy days. So far, our civilization has tried to stay ahead of population growth. Now we are trying to establish equilibrium between humanity and nature. And once we accomplish this our task will be to adjust our numbers down as our per capita consumption grows along with our standard of living. I have expressed this completed and yet to be completed evolution in four Laws of Civilization Building which state:

1. That you have to limit the quantity of life to improve the quality and you must do it selectively to aid and accelerate the process of natural selection and improve the intelligence and genetic makeup of the stock.
2. That you have to slow down population growth to remain within the technical ability of society to produce food and build infrastructure and you have to do it by any means necessary because failing to do it will have only negative consequences.
3. That once you get close to the planet's carrying capacity you have to stabilize the population in perpetuity and stabilize it at a level that is sustainable for any given planet, so the planet's life support systems are not damaged in which case intelligent life would unintelligently sow the seed of its own destruction.
4. That once a state of harmony is reached between civilization and nature all subsequent progress depends on society's ability to reduce the number of people in direct but inverse proportion with the per capita increase in the consumption of resources and that this

reduction has to be accomplished with everyone's free and conscious collaboration. In other words, the more technologically advanced our civilization becomes the fewer people can be accommodated on planet earth and all people must contribute to mankind's reduction in numbers to enable mankind's ascension in level of civilization.

The need to control population growth will therefore continue for as long as we exist and evolve.

What are the benefits of a global Population Stabilization Law (PSL) and how will it reverberate in our lives and society?

Beyond the fact that it is a safe, permanent and fair solution to the population problem its benefits are legion and span every aspect of our society: political, economic, social, and ethical.

The following analysis is but a sketch.

Political benefits:

1. We will regain honesty in government and control of government while governments themselves will regain their legitimacy and legality. Once a Population Stabilization Law (PSL) is in place, governments will no longer need to manipulate, cheat, or coerce us to circumvent the checks and balances of democracy for we will all be integral parts of the population stabilization task. Instead of being a secret imposition from the top down, population control will become an open program that tackles population control from the bottom up. Citizens and governments will no longer be in an adversarial position but in one of mutual trust. **This will re-legitimize government.**
2. We will regain the rule of law and the separation of powers. Once a PSL is in place governments will no longer need to circumscribe the judiciary to place the crimes and illegalities committed in the name of population control outside the reach of the law. Nor will it need to blind and handicap the legislative branch of government by hiding the crimes and illegalities committed in the name of population control behind the front of national security. **This will re-legalize government.**
3. We will regain a lean and trim government. Once a PSL is in place governments will no longer need to sustain the many layers of bureaucracy and technocracy or the financial burden of a military-industrial complex, which are necessary evils so long as governments are forced to address the population problem covertly and extrajudicially, as a top down imposition. The population control prerogative has turned governments into monstrosities. **This will reduce government.**
4. We will acquire an international system that is moral (equitable and fair), legal, efficient, transparent, and universal. The UN system and the Bretton Woods financial institutions (the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund) keep in place a system that pits governments against the people, reward those who devise the most efficient methods of subverting fertility and longevity, and pervert the truth by hiding the real purpose of the international system, which is to control population growth and share resources, and its real methods, which are neither moral nor legal, but constitute crimes against humanity and genocide. **This will re-humanize the international order.**

Once a Planetary Wellbeing Authority is in place, which is an incipient global government, national governments will gradually become obsolete and will be reduced by 90% and tasked solely with issues of secondary importance. We do not need 200 third class national governments that need 50 years to agree on the obvious, by which time it is too little too late. We need only one world class government. **This will de-politicize our civilization and improve governance.**

5. We will acquire a social contract, that unspoken agreement that establishes moral and political rules of behavior, and that has been suspended by governments without even informing us and leaving us only with the illusion of its continuing existence. **This will re-moralize government.**
6. A PSL will depoliticize and disarm science and industry, which have been weaponized and turned on the people. By necessity rather than intent, science has been corrupted and coopted to create the poisons, protocols and delivery methods of depopulation into the bodies of every human being to damage our fertility, for the sake or reducing births, and our immunity, for the sake of increasing deaths. While industry has been corrupted and coopted to scale up and manufacture the chemical and biological poisons used to adulterate our food system and body care products to cause damage to fertility and immunity across society. **This will uncorrupt science and industry.**
7. A PSL will depoliticize organized religions and the spiritual realm. Organized religions are the first geopolitical institutions that were created to deal with mankind's existential problems and enable the advancement of civilization. In the process, the spiritual domain has been hijacked and the very concept of God monopolized to serve as an unchallengeable authority that in time distorted and falsified the very purpose and nature of God, which is man's greatest invention and the ultimate peacekeeping and consensus forging tool. **This will depoliticize organized religions and spirituality.**
8. A PSL will depoliticize education and the media, which have been weaponized to sow mass confusion, mass indoctrination, and mass propaganda. The destructive effect they are having on society is that it confuses and confounds the public so that no one can know what the truth is anymore and will resign themselves to blindly believing the state propaganda and the lies spewed by those on the payroll of the state, be it directly or indirectly. **This will destroy the propaganda and indoctrination machine.**
9. A PSL will disarm the military-industrial complex of the weapons and technologies used on the civilian population and strip it of the licence to sterilize, sicken and kill innocent and unarmed civilians. As it is, the armed forces and the secret services are waging a silent and perverse war of attrition against their own citizens without even declaring war on the civilian population, but rather pretending to defend it of foreign and domestic threats, all of which are

engineered to justify the crippling cost and to provide the plausible deniability needed to maintain the façade of legitimacy and legality. **This will dissolve the military-industrial complex.**

10. Organized religions, governments, militaries, science, industry, education and the media will once again work for us, as tools of empowerment and enlightenment, not against us, as tools of disempowerment and confusion. This will place the truth at the center of our civilization to serve as our immovable anchor in reality, which will make it easy to correctly evaluate our predicament and act accordingly. **The primary benefits of this will be a just, inclusive and peaceful society that places the truth at the core of our civilization.**

Economic benefits:

1. By bringing the population control program out in the open and empowering the people to assume command and control over the sacred task of limiting human life on the planet we would no longer need a military-industrial complex to act from behind the scenes and invent methods and means to sterilize and prematurely kill us. This would save us 5% to 20% of GDP, depending on the country. This would also ensure a much better use of human resources. As it is, males in the flower of their youth spend their best years polishing rusty tanks while their superiors spend their professional lives waging war against fertility and longevity and perverting the truth to get away with genocide. All these males now wasted on destructive or unproductive ends will be employed in honest and productive jobs. **This will de-militarize our world and prevent the wasting of resources on the projection of power.**
2. By no longer chronically poisoning us into sterility, to reduce births, and into immunodeficiency, to increase deaths, medical costs will drop by at least 50% since all chronic or non-communicable diseases we suffer from now due to chronic multi-generational poisoning with endocrine disruptors and due to periodic immunizations with depop vaccines would no longer debilitate the population with chronic conditions. We would then save at least 5% of GDP. The need for curative and palliative medicine will be reduced by at least 80%. This money we now waste on hospitals and drugs, and doctors and nurses, to cure or control diseases caused by the poisoning program will be better spent on preventive medicine provided through pleasant means such as spa treatments, Yoga and Pilates retreats, and annual paid holidays at hot springs, seaside and mountain resorts. **This will revolutionize medicine and make disease almost nonexistent.**

And since we will no longer have a medical profession and a chemical and pharmacological industry that set fire to our health to create more work and profits for themselves, we will no longer tolerate among us anyone who cannot carry their own weight and contribute to wellbeing and who are instead lifelong burdens on society and on taxpayers. Anyone who is

not able-bodied, independent and capable of work will be euthanized. All fetuses found to have incurable congenital or genetic diseases or to suffer from physical deformities and handicaps that cannot be surgically corrected will be aborted. All adults suffering from incurable illnesses, disease or disability, are in an advanced state of irreversible decline of their normal faculties, are mentally retarded, or who endure intolerable physical or physiological suffering with no end in sight will be euthanized. Not only are such people condemned to a life of social exclusion, loneliness and inadequacy on top of their physical suffering, but they also condemn their parents and siblings to a life of misery and sacrifices and condemn society to bear the costs of supporting them for life. No one should be forced to sacrifice their lives to the lifelong care of human beings who were destined to die. **This will relieve the healthy from having to provide lifelong care to the unhealthy and will restore their lives and individual freedom.**

Likewise, society must be relieved of the burden of the socially dysfunctional: repeat offenders, mass murderers, sexual predators who target children, psychopaths and sociopaths who commit serious crimes with dire consequences, hopeless drug addicts, and those who commit heinous crimes have no place among us and instead of imprisoning them for life at exorbitant costs to the rest of us and making them suffer for the rest of their lives behind bars it is far more humane and beneficial to euthanize them. This will allow us to shut down all prisons and replace them with healing centers for light offenders. **This will free us of pathological crime and of the evil of prisons.**

These measures are necessary at a time when our civilization is being dragged down by ever increasing deadweights at the top, from a malignant elite, and at the bottom, from a dependent segment of socially, mentally and physically dysfunctional people. These measures are also necessary at a time when our planet is hopelessly overpopulated and mankind must reduce its numbers to a sustainable number or we will all perish.

3. By no longer having the deep state work against us and no longer being chronically poisoned we would be always healthy and energetic and therefore far more productive. Through increased efficiency and decreased sick days we would save another 5% of GDP. By no longer having to spend a good part of our lives suffering from illnesses caused by our elected leaders and their henchmen in the military-industrial complex we would lead much healthier and happier lives too. **This will restore our natural health and happiness.**
4. By no longer having a social order where the institutions of state work against us and create inefficiencies to justify hidden intent and crimes against humanity the left hand would no longer be holding the right hand back. This will save us another 10% of GDP since everything in society would be working as it should and the synergy of people, institutions and organizations working towards the same goals in synchronicity would make for a far more effective and productive civilization. **This will re-synchronize our civilization.**

5. We will get rid of layers and layers of parasites. The military class will disappear, the political class will be reduced by 90% since we will only need a single global government, the judicial class will be reduced by at least 75%, and the medical class by at least 60%. Paper pushing will be replaced by wheelbarrow pushing among men, while among women paper pushing will be replaced by stroller pushing. **This will de-parasitize and de-bureaucratize our world and greatly reduce our workload making the 5-day workweek unnecessary.**

All men now employed in professions misused for destructive ends will be redeployed towards constructive ends, such as the building of integrated and energy independent cities, the building of a new energy infrastructure based on renewables, the transition to organic agriculture, the building of integrated energy, transport and communications networks, and the artistic edification of our environment. And all women now employed in professions misused for destructive ends will be redeployed towards childrearing and the building of happy and healthy homes. We need builders and mothers not bureaucrats and toy soldiers. **This will restore manhood and womanhood and transform our infrastructure.**

There will be no more children institutionalized in creches, daycare centers, and kindergartens. The seven years of home education will be solely the responsibility of mothers and fathers. No child will see the inside of a classroom until they are at least 6 years old. You make them, you raise them, not the state! The state's responsibility is to provide women with a living wage for the duration of the breeding decade from 20 to 30 when all women will be paid a monthly living wage to conceive the healthiest children possible, to raise them in the most loving and stable homes possible, and to educate them in the most personal way possible. **This will de-institutionalize our children and restore childhood.**

Everything we build must be a work of art not just an object of utility. And every child we raise must be an evolved version of their parents and not just a new worker and consumer. By making better use of the human resources we have and by raising superior descendants, the material benefit to society will be at least 20%. Civilization building and childrearing are to be seen and respected as sublime sciences and artistic endeavors all at once. **This will beautify our world and edify our spirits.**

6. There will be no need for the concentration of wealth in the hands of a few hundred billionaires so they can use the wealth we produce against us, by funding covert chemical and biological methods of depopulation, starving families of the resources they need to be stable and raise children, suppress wages, and twist the judiciary to serve as a weapon of injustice so the people can be sterilized, sickened, impoverished, misinformed and finally prematurely killed. As it is, nearly half the world's wealth that is now monopolized by a few hundred billionaires and a few multimillionaires, all of whom are active or passive depopulationists, will pour back in our pockets. The best way to distribute wealth across society is according to OM Principle 1, "Proportional Income and Equal Taxation", which states:

“The income gap will be addressed through universal rules and firm legislation that tie the highest earner to the lowest in every industry and between every industry, be it private or public, at a ratio of 1 to 10 and through proportional wage increases and profit sharing programs across the board and equal taxation limited at 25% of income. No more CEOs or bankers who earn 600 times an employee’s salary. Exorbitant profits at the top will be replaced with prosperity for all by legislating that the highest earners cannot bring in more than 10 times what the lowest earners bring in.”

This will ensure that economic growth leads to an increase in prosperity across the board and wealth will no longer be concentrated in a few greedy hands and destroy the principles of solidarity, equity, and justice. By redistributing 50% of the world’s wealth from the 1% back to the 99% who produce that wealth, we will all become considerably richer virtually overnight. Since the world’s wealthiest 1% hold 50% of the global wealth, thus circa 50 trillion dollars, and the remaining 99% hold the remaining 50 trillion dollars, the redistribution of the 50 trillion dollars held by the world’s wealthiest 1% would add \$6,250 into the pockets of every man, woman and child on the planet. For those who earn the equivalent of the global median GDP of \$12,500 their annual incomes will grow by 50%. The only condition to this redistribution of wealth is that everyone will be obligated by law to invest 10% of their personal income into the development projects that are deemed crucial to civilization building otherwise the capital markets would be deprived of the money necessary to invest in every industry according to need. **This will destroy the organized crime of colluding governments, organized religions, and corporations and universalize prosperity.**

Caution: The people of the world must never accept a Population Stabilization Law without the redistribution of wealth and income stated in OM Principle One, otherwise the super wealthy will find ways to circumvent replacement level fertility and required assisted dying. The current diabolical concentration of wealth is the malignant tumor that grows alongside covert depopulation. They both feed on the body of mankind and prevent civilizational progress.

The only concession we may make along the way is to adjust the ratio from 1 to 10 to say 1 to 20, or perhaps even higher, if we find that the most talented among us need that financial advantage to put their ideas into practice for the benefit of all.

7. Wealth now held by the elderly and wasted on the extension of their dying period, which is what old age is, will be freed for the next generation to invest, live, create, and endow their children’s education. This will free 50% of society’s wealth to be used by the next generation when they need it most and when they can do the most with that money, which will increase economic activity by at least 10%. When people live to be 80, 90 or 100 years, whatever wealth they accrued during their lifetime will be exhausted long before they die and the next generation instead of inheriting wealth is forced to use the money they earn to fund the

extended period of dying for their elderly parents and grandparents. As it is, wealth is not only wasted but if any is left to be bestowed to the next generation it is too late for the next generation to fulfil any personal dreams with that money, as they would inherit it when they are already of retirement age themselves. All wealth must therefore be transferred to the next generation by age 70 so the next generation inherits it at an age they can make best use of that wealth, namely between the ages of 40 and 50. That way, the flow of money from one generation to the next and the use of money by each generation would be ideal. We currently live in a civilization where the young serve the old. We will henceforth live in a civilization where every age cohort serves mankind as a whole through optimal use of resources, for optimal outcomes, at optimal times. **This will re-optimize the flow of money between and within generations and boost economic activity.**

Another positive consequence of RAD 75 will be that hundreds of millions of properties (homes, apartments, condominiums, cottages) will be vacated by the elderly to be taken over by their grandchildren at the perfect age (around the age of 25) for starting their own families and having children. The young will be able to start their families mortgage free, which will make it far easier to have children. **This will unburden the young of debt, dis-impovertish the poor, boost fertility, and raise the standard of living.**

A second positive consequence of this timely transfer of real estate from the elderly to their children or grandchildren is that it will greatly reduce the need for new construction and will save enormous amounts of natural resources, which will aid the decarbonization program and the consumption stabilization program. **This will reduce consumption and pollution.**

Third, it will make speculation in the real estate market unprofitable and therefore unattractive, which in turn will greatly reduce the price of both old and new homes. **This will end speculation, price gouging, and intergenerational theft in the housing market.**

And last, it will make it possible for every couple to upgrade their homes or build new homes without the need for bank loans. By being debt-free and mortgage-free from the start every couple will be able to save the money they need to upgrade their homes or build new homes. No one should have to work and save for more than ten years to build or purchase a home. **This will end debt-slavery and homelessness and strengthen social stability.**

8. By living only to the age of 75 everyone will be an economic asset for society instead of a liability since their productive years will at least equal their years of dependence. Considering that we spend the first 20 to 25 years as dependents, growing and learning, and the last 10 to 40 years in retirement, depending how long we live after the age of 60 or 65, nursing ailments and hopefully still enjoying life, also as dependents, we are a burden on society for anywhere from 30 to 65 years while we are productive members of society for only 35 to 45 years. If the years of dependence exceed the years of production, then we are a liability for society. If everyone is a liability then society collapses economically. And if a proportion is a liability

while the rest are assets then the liabilities are parasitizing the assets. The best structuring of every human life is to be productive for a longer period than dependent, as that would make everyone an asset, would create universal prosperity and constant economic progress, and will not create social divisions and dependencies. And for that to happen it is best to spend the first 20 to 25 years of life growing and learning and the last 15 years of life, from the age of 60 to 75, in comfortable retirement. In this fashion everyone will be a dependent for 35 to 40 years, depending on whether they enter the workforce at age 20 or 25, and a productive member for 40 to 45 years, once again depending on whether they enter the workforce at the age of 20 or 25. People in physically demanding professions would be active from the age of 20 to the age of 60 and intellectually demanding professions from the age of 25 to the age of 65. The total years of productivity would be the same for both groups, namely 40 years, while the total years of dependence would also be the same, namely 35 years. What would differ is the distribution of the years of dependence, since those in physical professions would get 5 years of dependence longer than the intellectual professions at the end of life, from 60 to 65, because they retire five years earlier, while those in intellectual professions would get an extra five years in youth, from age 20 to 25, since they stay in school five years longer. Through this optimal use of the human lifespan all people will contribute to society and benefit from it in equal measure, and all people will be assets for society not liabilities. Through this optimal use of lifespans we would gain at least 10% in GDP growth. **This will re-assetize every human being.**

9. Extending the working life past 65 would delay the entrance into the workforce of the next generation, which in turn would delay family formation and childbearing therefore feeding a downward demographic spiral that can only end in population collapse. Henceforth, no one will be allowed to work past the age of 65 even if they want to. The elderly can play advisory roles to the young past age 65, if they so wish, roles for which they will receive no monetary remuneration. This will optimize the transfer of jobs from one generation to another, and the transfer of political and economic power, and will endow the young with wages sufficiently high to allow them to support a family and have children between the ideal age of 20 and 30 when the oocytes (i.e. the female eggs) are strongest and healthiest and the parents themselves are strongest and healthiest and can therefore endow their children with the best genetic inheritance. This will increase economic activity by at least 10% and rebalance political power between generations. **This will re-optimize intergenerational productivity and restore the lost balance of power between generations.**
10. By optimally using human resources, by having a healthier and more productive labor force, by no longer having the state and the international system work against us, by creating synergy between the people and the state, by dissolving or diminishing the parasitical classes, by no longer concentrating the world's wealth into the hands of a few hundred billionaires and a few million multimillionaires, by ensuring that every person is an economic asset not a liability, and by no longer feeding a downward demographic and economic spiral we can double the

world's wealth overnight and raise the global total GDP from about \$100 trillion to \$200 trillion, therefore eradicating absolute poverty once and for all. The primary benefits of this will be an equitable, prosperous and happy society. **This will empower mankind.**

Social benefits:

1. By placing the onus on the continuation of our species, the evolution of our civilization and the health of nature, rather than on individual happiness, corporate profits, and national pride, we set mankind on a lasting foundation, we give people a set of common denominators on which to build lasting peace, and we set our civilization on a path of reestablishing equilibrium with the natural elements. Achieving individual happiness in a socio-politically sick society and on an environmentally ill planet is an impossibility. But once we have a healthy society in a healthy world we can easily achieve a healthy mind in a healthy body and therefore individual happiness. Our priorities are now upside down and inside out. We must turn them right side up and outside out. **This will reprioritize our world.**
2. There is nothing to gain and everything to lose by crowding even more people on an already overpopulated planet. Overpopulation causes not only the destruction of the natural environment but also the destruction of society, for as more people compete for the same natural resources sharing those resources fairly becomes less likely and eventually impossible. Conversely, there is nothing to lose and everything to gain by uncrowding the planet, for as fewer people compete for the same natural resources sharing those resources fairly becomes more likely and eventually easy. At this point the ignorant will say that the global population is already stabilizing, without realizing that the reason the global population is stabilizing is because governments and organized religions have had both feet on the population brake since 1945 with the help of a brutal package of depopulation weapons composed of covert chemical, coercive biological, subversive psycho-social, and perverse economic methods of population control to undermine the family structure, fertility and longevity. **This will de-crowd the world and giving us and nature breathing space.**
3. Once our planet is no longer overpopulated it will be a cake walk to clean it up and to provide every living creature, be they human or otherwise, with the space they need to thrive. Our goal must be to return half the global landmass and three-quarters of the ocean surface to nature. **This will decontaminate our planet and create space for all creatures, large and small.**
4. No one will die alone anymore and among strangers in sterile hospital beds disfigured by chronic illness and confused by powerful drugs. Everyone will choose the place they love most to die in and the people they love most to die with. And no one will die with their affairs in chaos anymore and without having transferred their wealth to the next generation in a rational, conflict free and timely manner. As such there will be no more death wishes directed

at the old to corrupt the minds of the young and no more legal chaos and conflict to corrupt the peace within the family. **This will de-mystify death and pacify families.**

5. Once the existing package of covert chemical, coercive biological, subversive psycho-social and perverse economic methods of population control are retired, the epidemic of non-communicable disease that now kill 74% of us and will soon kill 98% of us, will stop virtually overnight. There will no longer be a chronically ill population, there will no longer be people disfigured by obesity, and there will no longer be an epidemic of cancer and cardiovascular illnesses to incapacitate us and make our lives miserable and our quality of life nonexistent. Virtually everyone will go to their death at age 75 in perfect physical, mental, emotional and psychological health, having made peace with their relatives, with the world, and with God. Confined to the past once and for all will be all situations when human beings must endure and suffer the indignity of being a physical and financial burden on their children and the state, the even greater indignity of having to wear adult diapers and have others change them in and out of them, or the greatest of all indignities of no longer remembering one's name or the faces of the people one loves. We will all die in dignity and proud to be of service to mankind one final time. **This will re-dignify old age and give purpose to death.**

Once required assisted dying (RAD) is in place, the old-age dependency ratio (defined as the number of individuals aged 65 and over per 100 people of working age defined as those aged 20 to 64) will fall from the current crippling rates of 30% to 50% to less than 20% within a year or two. At that point, governments will afford to give every retired person a pension they can actually live on and not the starving pensions people receive today. And while currently, anywhere between 10% to 30% of the elderly population in every developed country on the planet is left without any pension whatsoever by governments, which is unacceptable, this will no longer be the case once RAD is active and we are all '*radded*' from this life into the afterlife if such state of being even exists. None of our parents or of our elderly will be forced to beg for a loaf of bread or a log in the fire once we all die by RAD. Old-age poverty will be a distant memory and an ugly nightmare no one will care to recall. **This will dis-impoverish retirement.**

Once RAD is in place, everyone employed in physically demanding professions will be able to retire at 60 while everyone employed in intellectually demanding professions will also be able to retire at 60, if they so choose, albeit with a reduced but still sufficiently large pension to live on. And since all elderly will be unpoisoned and therefore happy, their retirement years will be a period they can actually enjoy, be it by travelling, pursuing hobbies, or babysitting their grandchildren. Our parents will have 15 years of tranquility and enjoyment, of philosophizing and philandering (if they happen to be widowers), and of exploring and pursuing the things they always wanted to but never had the time or the freedom to do it. And ill-health will no longer stand in their way since no such state will exist in the post-mass-poisoning-age. Our parents will be strong and free, healthy and happy, independent and carefree. And so will we when our turn comes. **This will guarantee wellbeing in retirement.**

6. Conversely, once RAD is in place and jobs are freed for the next generation in a timely manner, none of the young will suffer the indignity of being unemployed and of feeling superfluous or even useless. And none of the young will be forced to delay or abort their plans to form a family and have children for lack of a job. And none of the young will be forced to delay their maturity, find gratification in videogames long into their twenties, live in the virtual world since that is the only one they can afford, and be self-absorbed to defy their impotence. **This will de-narcissize youth and empower the young.**

None of the young will be forced to accept and tolerate jobs that do not pay enough to sustain a family and raise children for such jobs will no longer exist since none of the employees and employers will be saddled with outrageous taxes that governments desperately need to support an unsustainable old-age burden, a criminal military-industrial complex, parasitical professions, an overblown bureaucracy, a demented technocracy, and a self-entitled and genocidal scientific class. The young will be freed of all these burdens and parasites that are now suffocating them and robbing them of a future by condemning them to a delayed life and perpetual misery. **This will unburden the young and give them the wings they need to forge a better world.**

7. I need not remind you that the need to control population growth has forced governments and the international system to turn our environment into a cesspit of depop poisons. That is why we are all overweight or obese by the time we are 30 and many by the time they are 10. That is why we are all chronically ill by the time we are 50. That is why more and more children are autistic or suffer from serious developmental disorders. That is why 10% of the population takes antidepressants at any given time and 70% over the course of a lifetime. That is why 10% to 30% of the population, depending on the country, is addicted to illegal drugs and the rest to alcohol and tobacco to make it through the day. We have become a species of zombies so thoroughly dysfunctional that our forefathers would not even recognize us as humans if they had the opportunity to take a good look at us. **This will decontaminate our world and de-poison our minds and bodies.**

If we continue in this vein we have at most 25 years before we collapse biologically having already collapsed reproductively. 10% of us have already also collapsed intellectually. Our governments, driven by demented scientists, self-serving technocrats, and perverse billionaires have done enough damage to our genetic and intellectual endowment to reverse at least half a million years of evolution. **This will restore our genetic and intellectual endowment.**

This is therefore a matter of saving our species from a process of devolution caused by the inability and unwillingness of our spiritual and governing classes to enlighten and empower us with the truth.

8. By restoring the truth we will restore the human organism to its former glory and we will become ten times as happy, five times as potent, three times as strong, and twice as smart within a generation or two. I need not spell out the advantages of that other than to say that we will have saved ourselves from certain extinction and reset ourselves on nature's path towards our continuous evolution. Our glory will shine again as it shone in the Garden of Eden, as it shone when God created us to be the apex species, and as it shines in the eyes of every parent at the sight of their newborn healthy baby. **This will heal us and reset our species on its natural evolutionary path.**

Never again will there be a parent forced to raise a disabled child for there will never again be disabled children. Never again will a mother bury a son or a father bury a daughter who died of cancer, a disease that never existed before the chemical depopulation program began and that will never exist again once the mass poisoning stops. Never again will our children's sexuality be destroyed to such an extent as to prefer the anus to the vagina or who will want to have their sexual organ amputated and replaced. No such perversions will ever again trouble our minds or disturb our tranquility. **This will de-tragicize our lives and de-pervert the world.**

If that is not quantifiable enough for you keep in mind that we will have eliminated all conditions for conflict and war forever. Without secrecy and poisons there will be no conflict and sickness. There will be no parasites among us whose livelihoods depend on manipulating us to extinction. There will be no criminals among us parading as experts. There will be no old pricks in fancy religious habits contriving new forms of genocide and new ways to drive us to suicide. There will be no more evil for we will have deprived the devil of his market and of his products. And that will result in eternal peace within our own souls, between the conduct of men, and between the actions of nations. **This will de-parasitize the world.**

9. The current system has harnessed our labor and efforts to our own destruction. Those working for Big Chem are producing hundreds of poisons that have no utility other than to make us sick and sterile. Those working for Big Pharma are manufacturing drugs and vaccines that are not meant to heal but kill or at best just manage diseases so that pharmaceutical companies have lifelong clients and the state can extract big taxes from pharmaceutical companies. Those working for Industrial Agriculture are manufacturing and using fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides and desiccants that are designed to poison our food system if not by their very use than by their overuse. Those working for the medical system are prescribing drugs that kill not heal and are using protocols that kill not heal and this is all by design. Doctors have become henchmen, dentists have become castrators, and scientists have become emasculators of bodies and perverters of minds. And so we can take every industry and every profession and we will find that there is not a single one that is not doing its bid for the depopulation program, from NGOs to the police, from the military to intelligence services, and from teachers to spiritual

leaders. Even the humble barber is applying creams and lotions on your face and in your hair designed to sterilize if not kill you. **This will restore our true worth and sanity.**

In the current system we are all beasts of burden harnessed to either walk towards the abyss and fall in it, walk backwards and fall over each other, or walk sideways to get nowhere. Once we all trod along nicely towards constructive ends civilization will become twice as productive ten times as fast and we will all be twice as rich as the previous generation. **This will dis-enslave and enrich us.**

Because half the state works against us society takes two steps forward and one back in one decade and one step forward and two back in another. For the past ten years, especially, it has become painfully apparent that not only are we not making any progress but that we are actually regressing in almost every way. Henceforth, we will walk quickly and steadily forward and progress on every front towards a higher and more evolved civilization. **This will de-sabotage society.**

10. Clearly, anything we do from now on can only be better than what we have done so far. We are being led by criminals and cretins because the criminals and cretins among us refuse to think and face the facts. Our entire civilization has been boxed in by crime and stupidity. Henceforth we will ban crime from the core of our society and smarten up our species. Anyone who commits crimes while in positions of power will be put to death. And anyone who refuses to think will be put to death. **This will cleanse government of criminals and de-idiotize our species.**

Ethical benefits:

1. Truth and the right to know are sacrosanct. Every single page governments and the Vatican now hide in their archives under the pretense of national security or cultural preservation are crimes against humanity and a defilement and perversion of the truth. Never in history have secular and spiritual authorities classified more information than in our times, paradoxically while at the same time they shamelessly profess transparency and integrity. The contents of the contracts signed by governments with vaccine manufacturers to hide the genocidal purpose of mRNA vaccines is a point in case and the most current example of hiding a depopulation crime. But all information they classify hides one or another aspect of the depopulation program. Once population control is addressed through a Population Stabilization Law (PSL) the need for secrets and secrecy will evaporate and anyone who as much as dreams about reclassifying population control will have to suffer capital punishment. Henceforth any secrets will be seen and punished for the crimes they are and they enable. **This will reestablish truth and anchor our civilization in it.**

2. Justice and the right to fairness are sacrosanct. We are born with the innate ability to discern right from wrong yet governments and organized religions have bastardized that to such an extent as to turn justice into injustice and fairness into falsehood. The entire judiciary, be it at the national or international level, acts as a last line of defense for the protection of crimes against humanity and genocide that governments and organized religions commit in order to reduce the population. The refusal of national and international courts to prosecute mass murderers like Fauci and Gates, Biden and Putin, Pope Francis and his cardinals, Von Der Leyen and the heads of all EU member states, and all others who have collaborated in the depopulation by vaccination genocide is the most recent point in case. Once population control is addressed through a Population Stabilization Law (PSL) the need for false courts and phony justice will evaporate and anyone who as much as dreams about covering up depopulation crimes will have to suffer capital punishment. Henceforth any false judges and refusals to prosecute depopulation crimes will be seen and punished as subversive acts against mankind and as acts of sabotage against our civilization. **This will reestablish justice and anchor our civilization in it.**

3. Equity and the right to equality are sacrosanct. History has shown again and again that once a social class assumes special privileges and monopolizes the wealth created by the labor of others, society descends into hell. Today we have the largest wealth inequality in history, dwarfing even the inequality suffered by the people at the hands of the aristocracy during the Middle Ages. The concentration of half the global wealth in the hands of the 1% is what enables the world's governments to use the world's billionaires as bankers of the strategy to turn the wealth we create against us. More than this, the individuals chosen by the system to deprive mankind of the wealth we create, the likes of Bill Gates and Warren Buffett, of Jeff Bezos and Bernard Arnault, of Larry Ellison and David Rubenstein, and of George Soros and Cyrus Poonawalla, to name but a few, have the gull to impersonate the roles of philanthropists and environmentalists when in reality they are nothing but petty criminals and the world's biggest polluters. Once population control is addressed through a Population Stabilization Law (PSL) the need for billionaire criminals posing as philanthropists and environmentalists will no longer be necessary and anyone who as much as thinks about owning or earning ten times more than the lowest paid person on the planet, will suffer capital punishment. Henceforth, any attempts at concentrating wealth in the hands of a few will be seen and punished as subversive acts against mankind and as acts of sabotage against our civilization. **This will reestablish equity and anchor our civilization in it.**

4. Morality and ethics are sacrosanct. The world's spiritual leaders serve as paragons of morality and have monopolized the right to defend, interpret and redefine the moral and ethical standards by which every human being in every culture must live. As spiritual leaders it is their role to show mankind an ethical way out of the impasse we find ourselves in during the last stage of the population stabilization program, which by necessity requires depopulation.

Where are they? Why are they hiding? What keeps them silent? Why must I, a common citizen with no position of spiritual authority whatsoever and with no claim to power, wealth, privilege or high office, have to sacrifice my life to save mankind from itself by providing that much needed moral leadership to guide mankind out of the dangerous predicament we find ourselves in?

I have already pledged to die by RAD at age 75. Why is Pope Francis at age 85 still dragging his old bones around the world pretending to be the spiritual leader of Christendom? Why are his cardinals and bishops not leading by example by availing themselves of RAD? Their median age is already well above 75. Apparently, the median age of the 220 cardinals who make up the College of Cardinals is 78.5 years. So far, our spiritual leaders have only shown that they are very good at conniving with governments to contrive plans for our mass murder. They are very good at sacrificing us, but lousy at making sacrifices.

And if I am doing their jobs while they parade among us as paragons of morality am I not the true spiritual leader of the world? Any and all depopulation objectives pursued in secret and outside and above the law, as well as in violation of the moral and ethical standards that our cultures and religions profess, are by definition immoral and illegal. Yet I am the only person on this planet who takes a stand and who actually lives by the moral, ethical, legal and humanitarian standards that religions, governments, legislatures, and courts of law profess. And if that is the case, then I alone have earned the right to assume control of the world and to govern the 8 billion lost souls and sinners out of the existential dread and dead-end mankind finds itself in and lead them towards a higher and more enlightened civilization. I will therefore lead the Planetary Wellbeing Authority that will enforce a Population Stabilization Law globally since no one else is capable of this awesome task or can be trusted with this divine responsibility. **I am henceforth the spiritual anchor of mankind and by default, since no one else had the courage to step forward, I alone will defend, interpret and redefine the moral and ethical standards by which every human being on this planet will henceforth live and die.**

Where are the executive, the judiciary and the legislative powers of state? Why have they not legislated a solution to the population problem? Why am I doing their work and sacrificing my life? Afterall I am not paid for this. They are. I will get no pension for 14 years of sacrifices so far. They will. If they are incapable of providing a solution why are they still in power? They are useless. I have found a legal, moral, and fair solution while they have all been in hiding conniving with spiritual authorities and contriving plans to sterilize and prematurely kill us all. I will therefore lead the Planetary Wellbeing Authority that will enforce a Population Stabilization Law globally since no one else is capable of this awesome task or can be trusted with this earthly responsibility. **I am henceforth the governing anchor of mankind and by default, since no one else had the courage to step forward, I alone will conceive, draft and pass the laws by which every human being on this planet will henceforth live and die.**

5. Only by respecting the three components of the Population Stabilization Law will we wash away our individual and collective sins. For how can one speak of morality in a world where mothers do not bat an eyelid at aborting their unborn children, but refuse to consider leaving this earth a few years earlier to give the next generation a chance at happiness too? If we can kill babies, we can kill ourselves. How can one speak of morality when the first and last precondition for employment is to lie to yourself that you are not working for the devil? If we can live a lie, we can face the truth. How can one speak of morality when our men at arms have made us their enemies simply because we exist and their honor to defend the people has been replaced with the dishonor to harm us all? If we can kill others in secret and for all the wrong reasons, we can kill ourselves openly for all the right reasons. How can one speak of morality when everything is the opposite of what it is supposed to be and everyone is the opposite of who he or she pretends to be? If we can wear a mask throughout life, we can take it off to face death. How can one speak of morality when our spiritual, political, and intellectual leaders connive to commit genocide? If they can agree in secret that killing is necessary, we can agree openly that killing ourselves is necessary. Once a global Population Stabilization Law is in place no one will need to lie anymore or wear a mask. We will live in a moral world for the first time in our miserable history. **This will give us a moral world and anchor our civilization in a higher morality that prejudices none, sacrifices none, and ignores none but that demands from all to leave their prejudices behind, to sacrifice willingly, and to mind the rights of all creatures on this earth and of all generations of humans to come.**

6. It is immoral to sacrifice those at the beginning of life for those at the end of life. And it is immoral because the old must sacrifice for the young not vice versa when society's resources are scarce. It is immoral to spend society's resources to prolong the years of dying and in so doing deprive the young of the means of living. It is immoral to ask a generation to bear the burden of two older generations and deprive them of the means to care for the next generation. It is immoral to selfishly refuse to have two children and thus ensure the perpetuation of the species and the demographic stability of society. And it is immoral to seek a life of leisure and to consume the world's resources and society's fruits selfishly without having contributed much or anything to the civilization that shelters us all. Solidarity must be shown by the old towards the young in this unprecedented situation that was created by the decisions of the old with which now the young must live. The old created this situation, the old must fix it. And solidarity must be shown by the young towards the old by fulfilling their reproductive duties and by being economic assets and not liabilities. This will end the role of governments as predators and the fate of people as prey. There will no longer be a predator-prey relationship between governments and people. **This is the ethical intergenerational solidarity we need to reestablish the demographic, economic, social, and environmental stability of our civilization.**

7. The Baby Boomers (1946-1964) and Generation X (1965-1979) are the first two generations in history that did not have to fight in war, take part in mandatory military service, experience famine, or live in extreme poverty. We owe this to the population control program. None of us had to die in the flower of our youth, starve or beg. All we are asked to do is give up the last five to ten years of our lives, the years of old age and chronic illness, of aching joints and wrinkled faces, of lost potency, lost vigor, lost enthusiasm and lost friends. Pay it forward so the generations that come after us can survive, prosper and procreate! The Millennials (1980-1994) will reach retirement age by 2045, Generation Z (1995-2012) by 2060, and Generation Alpha (2013-20125) by 2078. Thanks to the sacrifices made by the Baby Boomers and Generation X through their adherence to the required assisted dying (RAD) at age 75, the Millennials and Generation Alpha may only need RAD at age 80, and Generation Alpha and those coming after it will probably be fine with RAD at 85. The Planetary Wellbeing Authority will determine this in light of the statistics at that time. What is certain is that we must all make sacrifices for just as all generations that precede us have paid it forward by making sacrifices to ensure the perpetuation of the species and the evolution of civilization, so must we and all the generations that will come after us, because life and civilization will continue to face existential issues. **This is the ethical intragenerational solidarity we need to secure the future of mankind and to shelter our children's children from war, famine, disease and discord.**

8. The sacrifices we make for our children and our children's children and all future generations have equally beneficial outcomes for all other species with whom we share this planet and without whom life on earth will not only be barren and unpleasant it will also eventually become impossible. By eliminating the need to compete for resources between the members of our species, since we will depend only on renewable resources, we will be able to leave untouched the land and resources needed for the survival of all other species. Continuing as usual is out of the question as that will only aggravate the sixth mass extinction which we are causing and that unless we stop and reverse will be the end of our own species. **This is the ethical intraspecies solidarity we need to secure the future of mankind on a pristine and life-filled planet.**

During the early days of our existence when our civilization was just beginning and our understanding of our planet and its ecosystems was limited, we outgrew the food sources provided by the land we originally inhabited and were forced to expand into new lands by chasing wild herds of herbivores. In this fashion, we have exterminated countless species throughout our existence as hunter gatherers until eventually were forced to settle the land become agriculturalists and grow our own food. Be it as hunter gatherers or as agriculturalists we experienced many population collapses just as we caused the population collapses of many other species. It took generations and great suffering to restore our numbers at the pace at which nature allowed us to. During the early days of our settled civilizations we rebalanced our numbers from one generation to the next through conflict, famine, death and disease. We

now have sufficient knowledge to maintain a stable and sustainable human population and to enable sustainable and stable populations for all other species. We can plan and act early to prevent population collapses, be it our own or of other species. We can therefore control the relationship between past, present and future events and conditions. **This is the intertemporal foresight and continuity we need to secure the future of mankind and its wellbeing, as well as the future of all other species and their wellbeing.**

9. Under the current system, sterilizing, sickening and prematurely killing people has become the most lucrative industry on the planet and the only one that is fully government sponsored and wholly sanctioned by organized religions to ensure maximum profits for the miscreants who participate in it and zero consequences for the crimes against humanity they commit. Our heads of state and government and our spiritual leaders have surrendered the world to pure evil. Why? How can that be?

First, because they have no faith in mankind and believe we are just senseless animals driven by our basic instincts, incapable of high order thinking, and unwilling to sacrifice anything for the common good. While they are for the most part right, I believe we can outgrow our limitations when we have to and now more than ever we have to.

Second, because they are prisoners of the organizations, systems, and worldviews they represent. The Church has always condemned suicide and abortion and to change course now would contradict not only its teachings but also its claim to infallibility. And governments are beholden to the law which states that it must respect the right to live and reproduce. To change course now, even if they could, would invalidate their democratic claims.

And third, they are afraid of what will happen if they provide full disclosure, which they must if mankind is to understand the dire situation we are in, accept the reality, and act accordingly. Fear of full disclosure and its consequences, fear of becoming obsolete, fear of losing total power over society, and fear of the domino effect of changes legislation will trigger hold them prisoner to silence and passivity.

The existing political and spiritual structures, therefore, also stand in the way. Politicians cannot openly transgress the bounds of democracy without invalidating it. And priests cannot openly transgress the bounds of dogma without invalidating their religion. They transgress them instead secretly to preserve the illusion of democracy and morality.

While openly they could do absolutely nothing to address mankind's existential issues, secretly they could and they did. They had to. Afterall, to do nothing and let civilization crash would be irresponsible and suicidal, and would invalidate centuries of sacrifices. Consequently, they devised the many secret, coercive and manipulative methods of population control now in use and have feigned their adherence to Christian morals and to democratic values, all of which they violate with impunity.

But continuing to use covert, coercive and manipulative methods of depopulation and damage the genetic and intellectual endowment of mankind is immoral, destructive, and idiotic since it condemns us to biological collapse, our civilization to social, political and economic collapse, and our species to extinction.

The only option, therefore, is a legislative solution whose rationale every human being on the planet must understand so that everyone abides by replacement level fertility, required assisted dying at age 75, and optimal population levels. The resources of government are limited and irrespective how powerful the armed forces may be the power of the people is that much greater. But if you refuse to accept the only decent, fair, equitable and just solution you condemn yourself and your children to a life of hell and you condemn civilization to collapse and our species to extinction. It is that simple.

Organized religions gave us a social conscience to be able to coexist peacefully and productively in society. I give you a global conscience to be able to solve the existential problems we face and continue into the future. None of us is born with a social conscience yet none of us dies without one. It is an appendage we acquire through the socialization process to peacefully coexist in society. Likewise, none of us is born with a global consciousness yet henceforth none of us will die without one. It is an appendage we acquire through our understanding of the existential challenges we face and the sacrifices they require from us to survive and thrive. It is an extended civility, an expanded awareness, and an inclusive compassion.

We live in a global civilization and enjoy its fruits; we must therefore think and feel in global terms. We must have a global consciousness. A social conscience is no longer enough. That is the appendage needed for a world of nation-states not for a global civilization. Until we outgrow our selfishness and ignorance, our prejudices and misconceptions, and our fears and doubts we are but primitive apes and we will live and die as such.

The knowledge I give the world about the existential issues we face is the seed of the global consciousness that will grow within you, but that you must water every day and care for lovingly, otherwise it will not bloom into a global consciousness but wither away in your soul and die along with you as civilization collapses.

No one can hide in silence, in the crowd, or behind the system from our existential problems. They affect us all in equal measure and they are so daunting that our secular and spiritual leaders have no clue how to solve them. I do and have provided the solution in the form of a Population Stabilization Law. Embrace it and you will survive. Try to hide and you will die. When civilization collapses you will go down with it. And we cannot allow that to happen.

10. By now you will have realized that we have nothing to lose and everything to gain from a Population Stabilization Law. The benefits are legion. I could spend the next five years

outlining in great detail what you will gain. And you could spend the rest of your life reading my tome, but what would be the point of that?!

So I will resume myself to a few key points. You will have a society of decency and truth again. You will have a government that need not lie anymore and classify its dirty depopulation secrets under the pretext of national security. You will have honest journalists that brighten not dim your minds. And you will have doctors that heal not kill. You will have lawyers that work for justice not for injustice. Crime will become a curiosity that will be studied by the dead science of criminology. You will have businessmen that work for your enrichment not for your impoverishment. You will have scientists that invent gadgets and methods to make our lives better not to cripple our children and numb our minds and penises. No parent will bury their child anymore. Poverty will be a thing of the past. Sickness will be as rare as solar eclipses. And injustice will exist in fiction only. No one will die alone anymore, not unless they want to, that is. No one will die unhealthy anymore. No one will know chronic illness anymore. And no one will die without their affairs in order anymore. No man will shoot blanks anymore. No woman will have barren ovaries anymore. No couple will feel shame and inadequacy of not being able to conceive anymore. Children will brighten our homes, streets, and parks again. Children's voices will fill the air again. The elderly will not know loneliness and will not be forgotten and shunned. The elderly will be full of life and energy again so much so that they will actually want to be with their grandchildren and will be able to keep up with them too. No child will be stuck in an institution anymore, be it a creche, a kindergarten, an afterschool center or an orphanage. There will be no more orphans and there will be no more absent parents. There will be no more criminals and no more prisons. There will be no more poverty and no more filth. Prosperity will be universal. And there will be no more borders, armies, bombs and weapons. You will have love, and truth, and light at every turn. For there will be happiness wherever you turn. Nature will abound around us once again and count us as its own and not as its destroyers.

The overall effect of a Population Stabilization Law is that it will generate a just, fair, prosperous, truthful civilization that is largely self-governing, self-regulating and self-balancing.

How to enforce replacement level fertility, required assisted dying, and optimal population levels?

For replacement level fertility:

Governments must first remove all 200+ endocrine disrupting chemicals that are still being deliberately inserted in the food and beverages, body care and cosmetic products, dental and consumer products to cause sterility. This will restore normal fertility. Without decontaminating our environment of depop poisons no government can demand two children from every woman.

Carrot:

1. A decade at home for women with full pay to raise children, but only if conception occurs between 16 and 30 years of age.
2. Women will be allowed to give their children for adoption only if they are conceived before the age of 20.
3. All wages that are not 50% above the poverty line will be outlawed since no responsible young couple can start a family on poverty wages. If the private sector cannot employ the young immediately upon graduation, then the government will be responsible for providing employment.
4. Unemployment will be outlawed but everyone will have the right to one sabbatical year after every four years of employment to pursue their own interests while receiving a full wage. Over a lifetime, white-collar workers will be allowed 10 sabbatical years while blue-collar workers will be allowed only 5. The five-year difference will make up for the five years of extra retirement that blue-collar workers will enjoy since they retire at age 60 while white-collar workers retire at age 65. In this fashion, both white- and blue-collar workers will have 20 years of freedom from employment, but while blue-collar workers will enjoy 5 sabbatical and 15 retirement years, white-collar workers will enjoy 10 sabbatical and 10 retirement years. This extended period of freedom from economic responsibilities is now possible due to higher productivity achieved through digitization and robotization and soon also from engaging artificial intelligence and as such it will not render us economic liabilities. Otherwise the asset/liability balance would be upset.

Stick:

1. The women who refuse to have two children will be denied ten years of pension and will have to work until age 65 and be euthanized at age 70. They will also be denied half the sabbatical years everyone is entitled to.
2. To ensure that every married female has two children between the ages of 20 and 30, when their oocytes are healthiest, couples who fail to do so will incur severe fines to the tune of 25% of their monthly wages until they conceive. This will discourage objectors and force the young to fulfill their reproductive duty. Only the genuinely infertile will be exempt from the right to

procreate, but will be required to adopt two children instead. If the man is infertile but the woman is not then IVF will be provided free of cost so the woman can give birth to two children. If they still cannot reproduce they will have to adopt and if they refuse to adopt they will suffer the same fate as the women who refuse to have two children.

3. The right to abortion kicks in only after a woman has born two children and fulfilled her duty towards society and towards the perpetuation of the species. It is therefore conditional. Since it is best that parents are young rather than old, no contraceptives will be sold to women from the age of 20 onward until they have conceived two children and no contraceptives will be sold to men from the age of 20 onward until they have sired two children.

For required assisted dying:

Governments must guarantee the right to a pension, which is universal and inalienable but the duration of retirement is dictated by the ability of society to provide pensions, which in turn is dictated by a 20% old-age dependency ratio.

Since the fourth law of civilization building requires the continuing gradual decline of the population in inverse proportion to the increase in per capita consumption of resources, this ceiling will remain in place because maintaining optimal populations will be an ongoing process.

Carrot:

1. Everyone will receive 20 to 25 years of free education and free medical care in youth. Everyone will receive 20 years of freedom from social responsibilities in the form of a combination between sabbatical and retirement years that enable comfortable living in old age, meaning at least 50% above the poverty line.
2. A DNA sample will be taken from every person who will die by RAD and will be kept frozen until such time as cloning them back to life becomes possible and there is room on earth or on other planets. This may never happen but at least it gives them a change of renewed life.

Stick:

1. Those who refuse to die at age 75 by RAD, for everyone will have to sign their approval by age 20, will have to be euthanized by mandatory vaccination at age 70 since they will be responsible for the continuing existence of a military-industrial complex which is extremely expensive. They will pay for their refusal with five years of their lives because they are imposing additional costs and risks to society.

Our civilization will no longer be structured according to the lowest common denominator, the ignorant, the indifferent and the self-serving.

For optimal population levels:

Governments must coordinate the path towards a sustainable population, which in most cases implies substantial population reductions to make room for nature. Half the territory of every country must be gradually rewilded and returned to nature and remain unexploited for industrial purposes so that species loss can be reversed and the sixth mass extinction stopped. This process must be completed by the year 2100. The land returned to nature is to be enjoyed for recreational purposes only. Ecological tourism will therefore be permitted.

Carrot:

1. More living space in smart cities with cheaper utilities since they will all come from renewable energy sources. A minimum of 100 square meters of living space per adult and 50 square meters per child must be legislated.
2. No need for cars since work, residence, entertainment and leisure facilities will all be within walking distance.
3. A much higher standard of living with far more free time will be the purview of all not just a privileged minority as is currently the case.

Stick:

1. Children out of wedlock will not be allowed. The proportion of the population that remains unmarried and therefore childless will help us gradually lower the population down to sustainability. So long as the population needs to decline, those who remain unmarried and childless will receive all their sabbatical years and a full pension and live to the age of 75 like everyone else. Once a country has reached its optimal population, however, those who have never married and are therefore childless will be required to pay 25% more taxes than anybody else since they will not have contributed to the continuation of the species and therefore to the financial stability of government. This measure will also ensure that the least desirable men and women do not reproduce and this will serve as a natural selection method that will aid the improvement of our species.

If required assisted dying (RAD) were legislated tomorrow, how many people would qualify in the US and Europe?

I calculated for RAD at 75 and RAD at 80.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in the US:

The US has a total population of 339,996,563 people, of whom 82,492,769 (or 24.26%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 197,705,468 (or 58.15%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 59,798,326 (or 17.59%) are 65+ years old.

America's population is 45.8% smaller than Europe's total population, which has 742,272,652, but this figure also includes the population of Russia.

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 25,173,391 people or 7.4% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 14,439,658 or 57% are women and 10,733,733 or 43% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 68,968 elderly a day would have to be euthanized.

Considering that there were 9,491 deaths a day in the US in 2021, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 7.26 times more people than died in the US in 2021. These are extraordinary numbers, but it is doable.

As in Europe so in the US, once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75 on that year, which would be about 3,060,000 people. This is a number that is smaller than the 3,464,231 people who died in the US in 2021. Mortality would go down by circa 12% compared to 2021.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of abnormally high mortality.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 13,758,524 people or 4.05% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 8,144,343 or 59% are women and 5,614,181 or 41% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 37,695 elderly a day would have to be euthanized.

Considering that there were 9,491 deaths a day in the US in 2021, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 3.97 times more people than died in the US in 2021.

If the US chooses RAD 75, it will still have 34,624,935 pensioners or 10% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if the US chooses RAD 80, it will still have 46,039,802 pensioners or 13.5% of the population left to support. As it is, there are 59,798,326 pensioners or 17.6% of the total population. But since many go into retirement a lot earlier than 65 there are at least 72,000,000 pensioners in the US or 21% of the total population. RAD 75 would reduce that to circa 14% and RAD 80 to circa 17% of the total population.

Incidentally, as of 2022 there are only 48.59 million retired American workers who receive Social Security benefits. ([Source](#)) Consequently, circa 11.2 million elderly aged 65+ are left out in the cold and if we count all elderly who are eligible to receive retirement benefits than circa 23.4 million elderly aged 55+ are left out in the cold.

Considering there are circa 54.56% of the total population or 185,503,595 working-age people (20 to 64 years) in the US and circa 72,000,000 pensioners (or 21.18% of the total population), America's true old-age dependency ratio is 38.8%, which means that for every pensioner there are 2.58 working adults. RAD 75 would reduce the old-age dependency ratio to 25.2% while RAD 80 would reduce it to just 31.4%. Either way it is insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

To bring its old-age dependency burden below 20%, the US would have to send to an early death 32,899,241 of its pensioners, thus 7,725,850 or 30.7% more pensioners than under RAD 75 which requires the early death of 25,173,391 elderly.

They would have to come from the cohort of 70 to 74-year-old elderly which has a total of 15,293,938 people. I will assume that the numbers of 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74-year-old people are equal since I do not have detailed data. 15,293,938 divided by 5 equals 3,058,788 pensioners for every one year cohort. Therefore, to make up the difference of 7,725,850 requires all elderly who are 74 and 73 years old plus another 1,680,275 or 54.93% elderly from the 72-year-old cohort.

That means the US must choose RAD 72 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20% or RAD 73 if it is to bring it just above 20%.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in the EU:

The EU has a total population of 447,038,283 people, of whom 90,244,674 (or 20.19%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 263,037,174 (or 58.84%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 93,756,438 (or 20.98%) are 65+ years old. ([Source](#))

The EU's population is 23.9% larger than America's total population, which has 339,996,563 people.

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 44,079,439 people or 9.86% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom at least 55% would be women and the rest men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 120,765 elderly a day would have to be euthanized.

Considering that there 5,296,037 died in 2021 (14,510 a day), the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 8.32 times more people than died in the EU in 2021.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75 on that year, which would be about 4,665,000 people. This is a number that is 11.1% smaller than the 5,296,037 people who died in the EU in 2021, thus mortality would go down. The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of exceptionally high mortality.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 27,086,490 people or 6.06% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom at least 60% would be women and the rest men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 74,209 elderly a day would meet their maker.

Considering that 5,296,037 died in 2021 (14,510 a day), the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 5.11 times more people than died in the EU in 2021.

If the EU chooses RAD 75, it will still have 49,676,999 pensioners or 11.1% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if the EU chooses RAD 80, it will still have 66,669,948 pensioners or 14.9% of the population left to support. As it is, there are 93,756,438 people 65+ or 20.98% of the total population. RAD 75 would reduce that to circa 15.86% and RAD 80 to circa 19.67% of the total population.

The problem is that many Europeans retire much earlier than 65. Once we add these younger retirees to the total we get an estimated 115,000,000 pensioners (or 25.7% of the total population). That's 1.23 times more pensioners than if everyone worked until the age of 65.

Now the ratios between the young, working age, and old population change completely and look as follows: 90,244,674 (or 20.19%) are between 0 and 19-years-old, 241,793,612 (or 54.09%) are active workers between 20 and 60, and 115,000,000 (or 25.72%) are retired.

This changes the old-age dependency ratio from 35.64% to 47.56%. Consequently, instead of there being one pensioner for every 2.8 working-age people there are only 2.1 working-age people for every pensioner.

RAD 75, therefore, would reduce the old-age dependency ratio from the current 47.56% to 29.33% while RAD 80 would reduce it to 36.36%. Either way it is insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the continent.

To bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%, the EU would have to reduce its number of pensioners from the current 115,000,000 to just 48,358,722 and would therefore have to send to an early grave 21,272,232 more pensioners than the RAD 75 program requires.

This would swallow up 91.2% of the entire cohort of Europeans 70 to 74 years old (which has 23,324,034 pensioners).

I will assume that the numbers of 70, 71, 72, 73 and 74-year-old people are equal since I do not have detailed data. 23,324,034 divided by 5 equals 4,664,806 pensioners for every one year cohort.

Consequently, the EU must choose RAD 71 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in Japan:

Japan has a total population of 123,294,513 people of whom 19,626,440 (or 15.92%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 66,596,329 (or 54.01%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 37,071,744 (or 30.07%) are 65+ years old. ([Source](#))

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 20,932,491 people or 16.98% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 12,511,929 or 59.77% are women and 8,420,562 or 40.23% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 57,349 elderly a day would have to be euthanized.

Considering that there were 3,945 deaths a day (1,439,809 per year) in Japan in 2021, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 14.54 times more people the year it is implemented than died in Japan in 2021.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75 on that year, which would be about 1,775,603 people. This is a number that is still larger than the 1,439,809 people who died in Japan in 2021. Mortality, therefore, would still go up by circa 23.32% compared to 2021, which was a record year.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of abnormally high mortality, followed by about 25 years with 20% to 30% higher mortality than in 2021.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 13,337,252 people or 10.82% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 8,375,274 or 62.8% are women and 4,961,978 or 37.2% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 36,540 elderly a day would have to be euthanized.

Considering that there were 3,945 deaths a day (1,439,809 per year) in Japan in 2021, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 9.26 times more people the year it is implemented than died in Japan in 2021.

If Japan chooses RAD 75, it will still have 16,139,252 pensioners or 13.09% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if Japan chooses RAD 80, it will still have 23,734,492 pensioners or 19.25% of the total population left to support. As it is, there are 37,071,744 pensioners or 30.07% of the total population. But since many go into retirement a lot earlier than 65 there are at least 42,000,000 pensioners in Japan or 34% of the total population. RAD 75 would reduce that to circa 17% and RAD 80 to circa 23% of the total population.

Considering there are 50.02% of the total population or 61,668,073 working-age people (20 to 64) in Japan and 42,000,000 pensioners (34.06% of the total population), Japan's true old-age dependency ratio is a crushing 68.1%, which means that for every pensioner there are just 1.46 working adults. RAD 75 would reduce the old-age dependency ratio to 30.13% while RAD 80 would reduce it to just 43.04%. Either way it is insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

To bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%, Japan must have only 12,333,361 pensioners. Therefore it must send to an early death 29,666,639 of its pensioners, thus 8,734,148 or 41.72% more pensioners than under RAD 75 which requires the early death of 20,932,491 elderly.

They would have to come from the cohort of 70 to 74 year olds which has a total of 8,878,016 pensioners. The surplus needed would absorb 98.38% of all elderly in this age group.

Consequently, Japan must choose RAD 70 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in China:

China has a total population of 1,425,671,351 people of whom 321,201,645 (or 22.6%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 901,074,710 (or 63.2%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 203,394,996 (or 14.2%) are 65+ years old. ([Source](#))

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 68,795,440 people or 4.83% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 38,973,698 or 58.1% are women and 28,821,742 or 41.9% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 188,481 elderly a day would have to be meet their demise.

Considering that there were 28,521 deaths a day (10,410,000 per year) in China in 2022, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 6.6 times more people the year it is implemented than died in China in 2022.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75 on that year, which would be about 11,706,561 people. This is a number that is still larger than the 10,410,000 people who died in China in 2022. Mortality, therefore, would still go up by circa 12.45% compared to 2022, which was a record year.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of catastrophically high mortality, followed by about 45 years with 30% to 50% higher mortality than in 2021 since China's old-age dependency ratio will rise to about 70% by 2050 without timely intervention.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 35,222,694 people or 2.47% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 20,521,404 or 58.26% are women and 14,701,290 or 41.74% are men. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 96,500 elderly a day would have to perish.

Considering that there were 28,521 deaths a day (10,410,000 per year) in China in 2022, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 3.38 times more people the year it is implemented than died in China in 2022.

If China chooses RAD 75, it will still have 134,599,556 pensioners or 9.44% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if China chooses RAD 80, it will still have 168,172,302 pensioners or 11.8% of the total population left to support.

The problem is that the current retirement age for all men in China is 60, for white-collar women 55, and for blue-collar women 50. Once we add these younger retirees to the total we get 248,469,220 pensioners (or 17.43% of the total population). Now the ratios between the young,

working age, and old population change completely and look as follows: 321,201,645 (or 22.53%) are between 0 and 19-years-old, 856,000,468 (or 60.04%) are of working age, and 248,469,220 (or 17.43%) are retired. And this changes the old-age dependency ratio from a comfortable 22.57% to a less comfortable 29%. Consequently, instead of there being one pensioner for every 4.43 working-age people there are only 3.45 working-age people.

RAD 75, therefore, would reduce the old-age dependency ratio to 20.99% while RAD 80 would reduce it to 24.9%. Therefore, RAD 75 is sufficient to bring China's old-age dependency ratio to 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

Alternatively, the Chinese government could just send its retirees back to work and legislate a retirement age of 65, in which case it will not need to introduce any RAD program.

Considering that China is grossly overpopulated, the government could use the RAD 75 program to bring the population down rapidly without destabilizing its population structure. And it could take advantage of the fact that the developed world is forced to legislate a RAD 75 program, which would make it much easier for the Chinese Communist Party to convince its people that China must do it too.

Whether the Communist Party of China can convince the Chinese people to make this sacrifice remains to be seen, but if it does China will become by far the wealthiest and most dynamic nation in the world and would dwarf the United States and the European Union within 20 years.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in Russia:

Russia has a total population of 144,444,358 people of whom 33,123,575 (or 22.93%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 87,560,896 (or 60.62%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 23,759,887 (or 16.45%) are 65+ years old. ([Source](#))

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 8,260,177 or 5.72% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 2,216,613 or 26.83% are men and 6,043,564 or 73.17% are women. Notice the huge discrepancy between men and women! If they were all euthanized in one year, then 22,630 elderly a day would have to be meet their demise.

Considering that there were 6,700 deaths a day (2,445,509 for the whole year) in Russia in 2021, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 3.38 times more people the year it is implemented than died in Russia in 2021, which was a particularly bad year.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75 on that year, which would be about 6,513,522 people. This is a number that is still larger than the 2,445,509 people who died in Russia in 2021. Mortality, therefore, would still go up by circa 166% compared to 2021, which was a record year.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of catastrophically high mortality, followed by about 40 years with 150% to 200% higher mortality than in 2021 since Russia's old-age dependency ratio will keep rising from an already high rate of 27.14% to about 42% by 2050.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 5,021,380 people or 3.48% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 1,191,042 or 23.7% are men and 3,830,338 or 76.3% are women. Once again, notice the huge discrepancy between men and women. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 13,757 elderly a day would have to perish.

Considering that there were 6,700 deaths a day (2,445,509 for the whole year) in Russia in 2021, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 2.05 times more people the year it is implemented than died in Russia in 2021, which was a particularly bad year.

If Russia chooses RAD 75, it will still have 15,499,710 pensioners or 10.73% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if Russia chooses RAD 80, it will still have 18,738,507 pensioners or 12.97% of the total population left to support. The problem is that the current retirement age for all men in Russia is 60 and for women 55. Once we add these younger retirees to the total we get 38,464,088 pensioners (or 26.63% of the total

population). That's 1.62 times more pensioners than if everyone worked until the age of 65. Putin has been desperately and rightfully trying to raise the retirement age to 65 since 2018 but with no success.

Now the ratios between the young, working age, and old population change completely and look as follows: 33,123,575 (or 22.93%) are between 0 and 19-years-old, 72,856,695 (or 50.44%) are between 20 and 60 years of age for men and 20 to 55 for women, and 38,464,088 (or 26.63%) are retired.

This changes the old-age dependency ratio from a comfortable 27.14% (23,759,887 people aged 65+ versus 87,560,896 people aged 20 to 64) to an uncomfortable 52.8%. This means that instead of there being one pensioner for every 3.68 working-age people there are only 1.89 working-age people for every pensioner.

RAD 75, therefore, would reduce the old-age dependency ratio from the current 52.8% to 41.46% while RAD 80 would reduce it to 45.9%. Either way it is woefully insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

To bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%, Russia could only have 14,571,339 pensioners. It would therefore need to send to an early death 23,892,749 of its 38.46 million pensioners and thus 15,632,572 or 89.25% more pensioners than under RAD 75 which requires the early death of only 8,260,177 elderly. This would swallow up the entire cohorts of Russians 70 to 74 years old (which has 6,513,522), 65 to 69 years old (which has 8,569,351), and 5.5% or 549,699 of the 60 to 64-year-old cohort (which has a total of 9,962,093).

That means Russia must choose at least RAD 65 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio to just over 20% or RAD 64 if it is to bring it safely under 20%. Or it can raise the retirement age to 65, adopt RAD 75, and rejuvenate the population with a large influx of young immigrants. Since Russia is underpopulated and can easily support twice its current population, Russia has the leverage to welcome as many immigrants to its shores as it wishes.

Theoretically, Russia could bring its old-age dependency ratio down to 20% just by taking in 140 million young migrants. However, accommodating and integrating 140 million people in a country of 144 million people would be a daunting task and take at least 50 years. Be that as it may, Russia has options.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in South Korea:

South Korea has a total population of 51,784,058 people of who 8,102,165 (or 15.65%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 34,164,812 (or 65.97%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 9,517,081 (or 18.38%) are 65+ years old. South Korea's old-age burden is 18.38% and its old-age dependency ratio 27.86%. ([Source](#))

The pension age is currently 62 with at least ten years of contributions. A reduced early pension can be withdrawn from the age of 57 years. The normal pension age is gradually being increased reaching 65 from 2033 and the early pension age is also increasing to 60 years by 2033.

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 4,003,588 or 7.73% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of who 1,516,503 or 37.88% are men and 2,487,085 or 62.12% are women. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 10,969 elderly a day would have to be meet their demise.

Considering that there were 871 deaths a day (317,800 for the whole year) in South Korea in 2021, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 12.59 times more people the year it is implemented than died in South Korea in 2021, which had higher mortality than usual.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75, which would be about 446,020 people. This is a number that is still larger than the 317,800 people who died in South Korea in 2021. Mortality, therefore, would still go up by circa 40.35% compared to 2021.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of extremely high mortality, followed by about 50 years with higher mortality than in 2021. Absent remedial action South Korea's old-age dependency ratio will keep rising from an already high rate of 27.86% to an astounding 79% by 2050 if no remedial action is taken.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 2,367,528 people or 4.57% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of who 795,067 or 33.58% are men and 1,572,461 or 66.42% are women. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 6,486 elderly a day would have to perish.

Considering that there were 871 deaths a day (317,800 for the whole year) in South Korea in 2021, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 7.45 times more people the year it is implemented than died in South Korea in 2021, which had higher mortality than usual.

If South Korea chooses RAD 75, it will still have 5,513,493 pensioners or 10.65% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if South Korea

chooses RAD 80, it will still have 7,149,553 pensioners or 13.81% of the total population left to support.

The problem is that the current retirement age for all men and women in South Korea is 60 and early retirement can start at 57. Once we add these younger retirees to the total we get an estimated 13,727,500 pensioners (or 26.51% of the total population). That's 1.44 times more pensioners than if everyone worked until the age of 65.

Now the ratios between the young, working age, and old population change completely and look as follows: 8,102,165 (or 15.65%) are between 0 and 19-years-old, 29,954,393 (or 57.84%) are active workers between 20 and 60, and 13,727,500 (or 26.51%) are retired.

This changes the old-age dependency ratio from a comfortable 27.86% to an uncomfortable 45.83%. Consequently, instead of there being one pensioner for every 3.6 working-age people there are only 2.18 working-age people for every pensioner.

RAD 75, therefore, would reduce the old-age dependency ratio from the current 45.83% to 32.46% while RAD 80 would reduce it to 37.92%. Either way it is woefully insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

To bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%, South Korea would have to reduce its number of pensioner from the current 13.7 million to just 6 million and would therefore have to send to an early grave 3.7 million more pensioners than the RAD 75 program requires.

This would swallow up the entire cohort of South Koreans 70 to 74 years old (which has 2,230,098 pensioners), and an additional 1,493,814 elderly or 45.5% from the 65 to 69-year-old cohort (which has 3,283,395 pensioners).

Consequently, South Korea must choose RAD 68 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio to about 20%. Alternatively, it could send all pensioners who are younger than 65 back to work, make 65 the mandatory retirement age, and institute RAD 75.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) in the UK:

The United Kingdom has a total population of 67,736,802 people of who 15,604,110 (or 23.04%) are between 0 and 19 years old, 38,952,251 (or 57.50%) are between 20 and 64 years of age, and 13,180,441 (or 19.46%) are 65+ years old. The UK's old-age burden is 19.46% and its old-age dependency ratio 33%. ([Source](#))

“The current retirement age in the UK for the state pension is currently 66 for both men and women. In recent years, the state pension age had seen modifications depending on when you were born. The state pension age for both men and women born after April 6, 1978, is now 68. However, the pension age for those born before April 6, 1970, remains 67 years.

As of 2021, the average retirement age for men is 65.1, while the average retirement age for women is 64. There has been a 0.2 – 0.3% decrease in the average age of retirement for both genders in 2021.” ([Source](#))

“The number of people receiving the State Pension rose by 1.1% to 12.5 million. Employment and Support Allowance fell by 6.6% to 1.7 million claimants.” ([Source](#))

RAD 75

If RAD at 75 years were implemented, then 6,475,834 or 9.56% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of who 2,829,341 or 43.69% are men and 3,646,493 or 53.31% are women. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 17,742 elderly a day would have to be meet their demise.

Considering that there were 1,801 deaths a day (657,278 for the whole year) in the UK in 2022, the RAD 75 program would have to take the lives of 9.85 times more people the year it is implemented than died in the UK in 2022, which had higher mortality than usual.

Once the backlog of elderly is taken care of under a RAD 75 program, it will be much easier in subsequent years since the system would only have to deal with one cohort, namely the people who turn 75, which would be about 635,546 people. This is a number that is smaller than the 657,278 people who died in the UK in 2022. Mortality, therefore, would decrease by circa 3.3% compared to 2022.

The RAD program, therefore, would require a single year of extremely high mortality, followed by about 45 years with higher mortality than in 2022. Absent remedial action, the UK's old-age dependency ratio will keep rising from an already high rate of 33% to a 47.1% by 2050 if no remedial action is taken.

RAD 80

If RAD at 80 years were implemented, then 3,611,291 people or 5.33% of the total population would have to be euthanized, of whom 1,491,855 or 41.31% are men and 2,119,436 or 58.69% are women. If they were all euthanized in one year, then 9,894 elderly a day would have to perish.

Considering that there were 1,801 deaths a day (657,278 for the whole year) in the UK in 2022, the RAD 80 program would have to take the lives of 5.49 times more people the year it is implemented than died in the UK in 2022, which had higher mortality than usual.

If the UK chooses RAD 75, it will still have 6,704,607 pensioners or 9.9% of the total population left to support, provided everyone retires at 65. On the other hand, if the UK chooses RAD 80, it will still have 9,569,150 pensioners or 14.13% of the total population left to support.

The problem is that there are many Britons who went into early retirement. Once we add these younger retirees to the total we get an estimated 16,000,000 pensioners (or 23.62% of the total population). That's 1.21 times more pensioners than if everyone worked until the age of 65.

Now the ratios between the young, working age, and old population change and look as follows: 15,604,110 (or 23.04%) are between 0 and 19-years-old, 36,132,692 (or 53.34%) are active workers between 20 and 66, and 16,000,000 (or 23.62%) are retired.

This changes the old-age dependency ratio from 33% to an uncomfortable 43.09%. Consequently, instead of there being one pensioner for every 3.3 working-age people there are only 2.26 working-age people for every pensioner.

RAD 75, therefore, would reduce the old-age dependency ratio from the current 43.09% to 26.36% while RAD 80 would reduce it to 34.29%. Either way it is insufficient to bring it below 20%, which is what is needed to rejuvenate the country.

To bring its old-age dependency ratio below 20%, the UK would have to reduce its number of pensioner from the current 16 million to just 7,226,538 elderly and would therefore have to send to an early grave 2,297,628 million more pensioners than the RAD 75 program requires.

This would swallow up 73.3% of the cohort of elderly 70 to 74 years old (which has 3,177,731 pensioners). Consequently, the UK must choose RAD 72 if it is to bring its old-age dependency ratio safely below 20%.

Required Assisted Dying (RAD) Ranking

The above analysis shows us that RAD 80 would barely keep us afloat, if that, while RAD 75 would give us wings.

It also shows us that a Population Stabilization Law is imminent and inevitable, otherwise governments will start going into default and the most powerful countries in the world will start collapsing. Continuing to cannibalize countries and regions of people to save themselves from demographic collapse is no way to govern in the 21st century.

We are in deep, deep trouble and no one but ourselves will get us out of this hell; not the Church, not Government, not Science, not the UN, and not God. Here are the ages at which the nations and nation blocks I have analyzed above must adopt Required Assisted Dying (RAD) if they are to bring their old-age dependency ratios down to 20%.

The ranking below is from the worst to the best:

1. Russia needs RAD 64 or 65
2. South Korea needs RAD 68
3. Japan needs RAD 70
4. The EU needs RAD 71
5. The UK needs RAD 72
6. The US needs RAD 72 or 73
7. China needs RAD 75

The only reason Russia is still standing is due to its fossil fuel wealth. Now you can all understand why the West is helping Russia boost its oil revenue under the front of the fake war in Ukraine. They are all helping each other survive the last stage of the demographic transition. That they all work together is good news. The bad news is that they left us out of the loop and are treating us like vermin to be exterminated.

What will we do with so many corpses?

A common Christian burial is out of the question due to the space and wood requirement. Cremation would necessitate too much energy, generate too many greenhouse gases, and leave no physical place where family members can mourn and remember the dead and society can honor their sacrifice.

I therefore propose to create forests where one tree is planted on top of every person buried so the families can identify the tree, a living organism, with the deceased. Each elderly can choose the species of tree it wants planted over their body. The trees will be unmarked and no visible sign would suggest that the forest is also a cemetery. The family will instead receive the GPS coordinates to be able to locate the exact place and tree where their relative is buried.

To make the burials as natural as possible the bodies will neither be dressed nor placed inside a coffin but simply wrapped naked in a burial shroud and interred at the root of the tree that is planted into the ground along with the body.


The forests will become ancestor forests and revered as such in the same manner the native tribes of North America's Indians have done for millennia. The sacred status of the forests will protect them from commercial use or deforestation. RAD can therefore be woven into the decarbonization and sustainability program.

Conclusion:

As much as we would like to, the demographic transition, which is the euphemism the system uses for the population stabilization program, cannot be left unfinished. Our forefathers have started it and we must finish it. Left unfinished would spell the end of our civilization. Leaving it unfinished, therefore, is a luxury we do not have. This is no time to be self-defeatist or bitter, but to seize the day and stand on the shoulders of giants, our forefathers' shoulders. For there is no mightier foundation on this earth.

The way I see it, the most fundamental problem we have is to bridge the gap in understanding between the grave men who govern the world and live for it and the joyful men who find happiness in it and live for themselves. And we must do it peacefully, rationally, and patiently to find and keep that fine balance between the world of innocence and the world of experience, the world of ignorance and the world of knowledge, and the world of infinite possibilities and the world of limited realities.

How it all started and where it must go can be summed up in three pictures.



The red outline indicates the future projection of the population while the black indicates the present population.

<p>This flaring pyramid denotes uncontrolled population growth leading to resource scarcity and environmental collapse.</p> <p>In the past, it was all about the young since they were the majority.</p>	<p>This inverted population pyramid denotes uncontrolled population loss leading to demographic and economic collapse.</p> <p>In the present, it is all about the old since they outnumber the young.</p>	<p>This stable population structure denotes optimal and sustainable demographic, economic, social, environmental and developmental outcomes.</p> <p>In the future, we will have a proportional intergenerational power structure.</p>
--	---	---

This population structure leads to a growing economy but results in decreasing per capita consumption and extreme poverty.	This population structure leads to a decreasing economy that results in stagnating per capita consumption and extreme wealth differences.	This population structure leads to a stable economy and controlled increase in per capita consumption and an equitable wealth distribution.
--	---	---

We got from the flaring to the inverted population pyramid by subverting fertility to reduce births and lose the wings of the pyramid at the bottom.	We are getting from the inverted population pyramid to the bullet-shaped population structure by subverting immunity to reduce longevity and lose the wings of the pyramid at the top.
--	--

We will maintain a stable population by legislating a Population Stabilization Law which gives us precise control over population growth and the ratio between children, adults and the elderly.
--

This is where we were, where we are, and where we must be to complete the population stabilization program and ensure our survival. To get to our destination every human being on the planet is as important as the next. I can only show you the way and lead you to safe harbor but to get past the obstacles that stand in our way I need every man, woman and child behind me.

I will be the tip of the spear, but you must throw me into the heart of the beast. Those who doubt the goodness of man and count on his ugliness will tremble with fear and move aside. For there is no greater power on earth than love and no creature capable of greater love than man.

I have faith in you. I have faith in mankind. I have faith we will prevail.